

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/807,657	GARCON, NATHALIE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Michael M. conger.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

39, 41

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was indicated that claims would be in condition for allowance if claims 39 and 41 were amended to indicate that the second metallic particles in the claims are - substantially free of immunostimulant- - instead of "substantially free of antigen." The Applicant agreed to the amendment, and indicated that an Examiner's Amendment would be acceptable.